Clinical course and characteristics of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the virus responsible for the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Despite the extensive studies aiming to understand the pathology of COVID-19, the clinicopathological characteristics and risk factors associated with COVID-19 remain mostly unclear. In this study, we assessed the clinical course and features of COVID-19 patients.

Findings: There were 59 patients (54.1%) that had no fever. One-hundred (91.7%) patients required oxygen therapy, which improved percutaneous oxygen saturation (SpO2). Seventy-two (66.1%) patients aged over 60; these patients were more likely to develop respiratory symptoms. Only 13 (11.9%) patients were positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid, and computed tomography (CT) findings. We found significant differences in age, respiratory symptoms, and heart rates between patients with and without underlying conditions.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that oxygen plays an important role in the treatment of COVID-19 patients and that age and underlying diseases are significant risk factors for COVID-19. Most COVID-19 patients have no fever, and CT provides higher detection rates than antibody- and nucleic acid-based detection methods.

Methods: We analyzed data from 109 confirmed COVID-19 cases. We compared the clinicopathological characteristic of patients stratified according to age and underlying diseases, as well as assessed the detection rates of different diagnostic methods.

INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, an outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in Wuhan, China, marked the beginning of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic [1–3]. As of April 8, 2020, the number of confirmed cases has risen to 1.35 million worldwide [4]. COVID-19 often present with persistent fever, cough, chest distress, dyspnea, and sore throat. Some patients also develop gastrointestinal symptoms, including diarrhea, while other patients have no obvious symptoms, making the virus spread containment extremely challenging [5, 6]. In COVID-19 patients, lung computed tomography (CT) findings include bilateral scattered patchy ground-glass density shadows and consolidation stripe shadows in both lungs [7, 8].

Despite the extensive efforts of the last months to understand the pathology of COVID-19 and identify...
therapeutic targets, the clinicopathological characteristics and risk factors associated with COVID-19 remain largely unclear. In this study, we investigated the clinicopathological characteristics and treatment outcomes in 109 patients diagnosed with COVID-19. The findings reported herein provide a better understanding of the clinical course, treatment efficacy, and risk factors in COVID-19 patients, providing a step forward toward the development of novel strategies to contain the pandemic.

RESULTS

Patient demographics and characteristics

In this study, we included 109 patients diagnosed with COVID-19 from February 13, 2020, to February 29, 2020, at Wuhan Union Hospital. The demographics and characteristics of these patients are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. The median age of all patients was 63 (range, 29-97), and 72 (66.1%) patients were aged over 60. There were 51 (46.8%) female patients and 58 (53.2%) male patients. Fourth-seven (43.1%) patients had chronic diseases. Among all patients, 100 (91.7%) required oxygen therapy, after which percutaneous oxygen saturation (\(\text{SpO}_2\)) values returned to physiological levels (\(\text{SpO}_2 \geq 94\%\)).

Clinical features

The clinical features of COVID-19 patients are summarized in Supplementary Table 2. Common symptoms included increased heart rate (n = 57; 52.3%), cough (n = 56; 51.4%), mild fever (37.3°C-38°C), and chest tightness (n = 36; 33.0%); high fever (39°C-40°C) was observed in three patients (2.8%). Only 50 (45.9%) patients presented with fever, while the remaining 59 (54.1%) patients did not develop fever throughout the disease course. Among the patients who developed fever, the median body temperature was 37.8°C (ranges, 37.3°C-40°C), and the median fever duration was 2.5 days (ranges, 1-8 days). 95 (87.1%) patients presented with respiratory symptoms at the time of diagnosis, and in 31 (28.5%) patients, respiratory symptoms continued even after \(\text{O}_2\) supplement. Of the 47 (43.1%) patients who had chronic diseases, 41 (87.2%) had respiratory symptoms at the time of diagnosis, and 13 (31.7%) had respiratory symptoms after oxygen therapy. A total of 31 (28.4%) patients were diagnosed with abnormal \(\text{SpO}_2\); in these patients, \(\text{SpO}_2\) values returned to physiological levels after \(\text{O}_2\) supplement.

Among all patients with underlying diseases, 17 (36.2%) were \(\text{O}_2\) unsaturated on admission. The median age of patients with respiratory symptoms after oxygen therapy was 65.5 (ranges, 29-97), whereas the median age of patients without respiratory symptoms after \(\text{O}_2\) supplement was 62 (range, 29-91). The median age of patients with respiratory symptoms requiring oxygen therapy was 73 (range, 60-83), whereas that of patients not requiring oxygen therapy was 65 (range, 58-65) (Supplementary Table 1).

In this study, we also compared the demographics and clinical characteristics of patients with and without chronic diseases (Table 1). While the median age of patients with chronic diseases was 69 (range, 38-97), that of patients without underlying conditions was 60 (range, 29-91) (Table 1); this difference was statistically significant. Compared with patients with chronic diseases, respiratory symptoms were less frequent, and heart rates were lower in patients without underlying conditions (\(P<0.0284\) and \(P<0.0001\), respectively; Table 1). No significant differences in gender or other clinical characteristics were observed between patients with chronic diseases and those without chronic conditions (Table 1). Significant factors identified by univariate analyses (age, respiratory symptoms, and heart rate) were included in multivariate analyses; age and heart rate were identified as significant risk factors of chronic diseases (Table 1).

Laboratory parameters and imaging findings

The results of laboratory examination and computed tomography (CT) in COVID-19 patients are shown in Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 3. Although 101 (92.6%) of the patients had positive CT findings, and 91 (83.5%) were positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, only 24 (22.0%) were positive for SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid. Only 13 (11.9%) of the cases were positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid, and CT findings. Eighty-three (76.1%) cases were positive for both anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and CT findings, and 18 (16.5%) were positive for SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid and CT findings.

Eighty-two (75.3%) of the patients with anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies presented with respiratory symptoms at the time of diagnosis, whereas 9 (8.2%) had no respiratory symptoms at diagnosis (Table 2). Eighty-eight (80.7%) patients with CT findings had respiratory symptoms at the time of diagnosis, while 13 (11.9%) cases with CT findings had no respiratory symptoms at diagnosis. Importantly, the number of patients who had respiratory symptoms after oxygen therapy was lower among individuals with anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and CT findings. Among the patients with anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and who received \(\text{O}_2\) supplement, 24 (29.3%) had respiratory symptoms even after oxygen therapy. Additionally, among the patients with CT findings and who received \(\text{O}_2\) supplement, 27 (29.0%) had respiratory symptoms.
Interestingly, more than half of the patients (54.1%) did not develop fever, in contrast to the study by Goyal et al., which reported that 77.1% of patients had a fever [15]. Interestingly, Zhiliang Hu et al. reported that only 20.8% of COVID-19 patients developed fever [16], highlighting the high variation in the symptoms of COVID-19 across cohorts. We believe that the fact that SARS-CoV-2 has been circulating for more than half a year has contributed to the attenuation of the symptoms caused by the virus. Additionally, as some COVID-19 patients remain asymptomatic, routine testing using antibody detection tests, nucleic acid testing, and chest CT, should be implemented in every country to contain the pandemic. Among patients who had a fever, the median body temperature was 37.8°C, and the median duration of the fever was 2.5 days; therefore, SARS-CoV-2 infection screening solely by measuring body temperature is insufficient. Additionally, a few patients did not have respiratory symptoms on admission but developed such symptoms later during the disease course. This finding highlights the need for early thorough clinical examination, CT scan, and laboratory testing in suspected cases. Moreover, self-isolation for at least 14 days is crucial for the prevention of community spread of SARS-CoV-2.

DISCUSSION

SARS-CoV-2 is the third coronavirus discovered so far; it is considerably more infectious than SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [9–12], leading to the rapid spread of COVID-19 across almost every country [13, 14]. In this study, we reported on the clinicopathological characteristics and clinical course of 109 COVID-19 patients. The median age was 63 (ranges 29-97), and 72 (66.1%) patients aged over 60. Forty-seven (43.1%) patients had underlying conditions. Among all patients, 100 (91.7%) received oxygen therapy, after which SpO2 values returned to physiological levels, suggesting that O2 supplementation played an important role in improving the condition of patients infected with SARS-CoV-2.

Interestingly, more than half of the patients (54.1%) did not develop fever, in contrast to the study by Goyal P et al., which reported that 77.1% of patients had fever [15]. Interestingly, Zhiliang Hu et al. reported that only 20.8% of COVID-19 patients developed fever [16], highlighting the high variation in the symptoms of COVID-19 across cohorts. We believe that the fact that SARS-CoV-2 has been circulating for more than half a year has contributed to the attenuation of the symptoms caused by the virus. Additionally, as some COVID-19 patients remain asymptomatic, routine testing using antibody detection tests, nucleic acid testing, and chest CT, should be implemented in every country to contain the pandemic. Among patients who had a fever, the median body temperature was 37.8°C, and the median duration of the fever was 2.5 days; therefore, SARS-CoV-2 infection screening solely by measuring body temperature is insufficient. Additionally, a few patients did not have respiratory symptoms on admission but developed such symptoms later during the disease course. This finding highlights the need for early thorough clinical examination, CT scan, and laboratory testing in suspected cases. Moreover, self-isolation for at least 14 days is crucial for the prevention of community spread of SARS-CoV-2.

| Table 1. Univariate and multivariate analysis of patients with or without chronic diseases. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | All patients    | Chronic diseases | Non-chronic diseases | Univariate P value | Multivariate P value |
| Sex                            | 109(100)        | 47(43.1)         | 62(56.9)           | 0.4403           |                  |
| Female                         | 51(46.8)        | 20(18.3)         | 31(28.4)           |                  |                  |
| Male                           | 58(53.2)        | 27(24.6)         | 31(28.4)           |                  |                  |
| Age, median(range)             | 63(29-97)       | 69(38-97)        | 60(29-91)          | <0.0001          | <0.0001          |
| ≤39                            | 6(5.5)          | 1(0.9)           | 5(4.6)             | 0.0061           |                  |
| 40-59                          | 31(28.4)        | 7(6.4)           | 24(22.0)           |                  |                  |
| 60-79                          | 56(51.4)        | 28(25.7)         | 28(25.7)           |                  |                  |
| ≥80                            | 16(14.6)        | 11(10.0)         | 5(4.6)             |                  |                  |
| Initial respiratory symptoms*  | Yes             | 95(87.2)         | 41(37.6)           | 0.9831           |                  |
|                                | No              | 14(12.8)         | 6(5.5)             |                  |                  |
| Respiratory symptoms after O2 supplement | Yes      | 31(28.4)         | 18(16.5)           | 0.2676           |                  |
|                                | No              | 69(63.3)         | 23(21.1)           | 46(42.2)         |                  |
| Median temperature,°C          | 37.2(36.6-40)   | 37.2(36.6-39.8)  | 37.2(36.8-40)      | 0.6853           |                  |
| Initial median SpO2 value (%)  | 95(64-98)       | 95(91-97)        | 102(86-135)        | 0.4961           |                  |
| Median SpO2 value after O2 supplement (%) | 98(96-100) | 98(96-100)        | 98(96-100)         | 0.9876           |                  |
| Median heart rate, beats per min | 101(84-135)    | 100(84-126)      | 95(80-98)          | <0.0001          | <0.0001          |
| Median respiratory rate, breaths per min | 22(20-34)     | 22(20-34)        | 21(20-28)          | 0.0284           |                  |

Data are n (%), unless otherwise specified. Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; SpO2, Percutaneous oxygen saturation; O2, oxygen.

*including cough, sore throat, short of breath, chest tightness, expectoration and dyspnea.
In our cohort, more than half of COVID-19 patients were elderly (over 60 years old), consistent with findings from previous studies [1, 17]; hence, we conclude that elderly patients are more likely to be infected with SARS-CoV-2 and that strict measures should be implemented to prevent the spread of the virus in the elderly. Our findings also revealed that people over 60 years old were more likely to develop respiratory symptoms, including abnormal SpO₂, compared with younger individuals. Furthermore, the elderly were less likely to improve after oxygen therapy, further supporting the need for close monitoring of COVID-19 patients aged more than 60. We observed significant differences in age, respiratory symptoms, and heart rates between patients with chronic diseases and those without underlying conditions, suggesting that these factors may indicate the presence of chronic diseases.

It has been reported that patients with underlying diseases were more likely to contract SARS-CoV-2 [17, 18]. In this study, we found that 43.1% of the patients had chronic diseases. After oxygen therapy, the respiratory symptoms continued in one-third of the patients with underlying conditions. However, SpO₂ returned to the physiological levels in all patients after oxygen therapy, pinpointing the importance of O₂ supplement for the treatment of COVID-19 patients with underlying conditions.

The combination of laboratory examination and CT scans plays an important role in the diagnosis of COVID-19. In this cohort, although 92.6% of patients received CT-based diagnosis, and 83.5% were positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, only 22.0% of them were positive for SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid. Importantly, only 11.9% of the patients were positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid, and CT diagnosis. Previous studies have shown that some COVID-19 patients were negative for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and viral nucleic acid at early stages [19]. CT provided a higher detection rate than laboratory examination, highlighting the importance of CT imaging to confirm SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Figure 1. Venn diagram showing the laboratory parameters and CT findings in COVID-19 patients. AB (+), positive antibody assay; AB (-), negative antibody assay; AB (0), antibody assay was not performed; RT-PCR (+), positive RT-PCR assay; RT-PCR (-), negative RT-PCR assay; RT-PCR (0), RT-PCR assay was not performed; CT (+), positive CT diagnosis; CT (-), negative CT diagnosis; CT (0), CT was not performed.
In this study, we analyzed data from 109 COVID-19 patients admitted to the Wuhan Union Hospital in Hubei, China, from February 13, 2020, to February 29, 2020, according to the WHO Interim Guidelines [20]. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Wuhan Union Hospital. Informed consent was provided by all patients.

**Data collection**

We collected the following data from 109 patients diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection: age, gender, respiratory symptoms (fever, cough, dyspnea, chest tightness, sore throat), vital signs at admission (temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, SpO2), chronic medical history (chronic heart disease, coronary heart disease, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes), treatment (O2 therapy), respiratory symptoms after treatment (fever, cough, dyspnea, chest tightness, sore throat), O2 after treatment, presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR assay results, and CT findings. Continuous variables were expressed as medians and ranges, whereas categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages (%).

**Statistical analysis**

Differences among groups were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test, χ² test, univariate analysis, and multivariate analysis according to the type of data. Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 8.0 and TBTools software. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
Abbreviations

COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; CT: computed tomography; O₂: oxygen; RT-PCR: real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction; MERS: Middle East respiratory syndrome; SpO₂: percutaneous oxygen saturation.
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## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

### Supplementary Tables

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Tables 1, 2.

### Supplementary Table 1. Demographics characteristics of patients with COVID-19.

### Supplementary Table 2. Clinical features of patients with COVID-19.

### Supplementary Table 3. Laboratory examination and CT diagnosis of patients with COVID-19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>Antibody assay positive</th>
<th>Antibody assay negative</th>
<th>Antibody assay not performed</th>
<th>RT-PCR assay positive</th>
<th>RT-PCR assay negative</th>
<th>RT-PCR assay not performed</th>
<th>CT diagnosis positive</th>
<th>CT diagnosis negative</th>
<th>CT diagnosis not performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibody assay(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>91(83.5)</td>
<td>91(83.5)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>20(18.3)</td>
<td>70(64.3)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>83(76.1)</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>5(4.6)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>5(4.6)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>5(4.6)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not performed</td>
<td>13(11.9)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>13(11.9)</td>
<td>3(2.8)</td>
<td>10(9.1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>13(11.9)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR assay(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24(22.0)</td>
<td>20(18.3)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>3(2.8)</td>
<td>24(22.0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>18(16.5)</td>
<td>3(2.8)</td>
<td>3(2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>84(77.1)</td>
<td>70(64.3)</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
<td>10(9.1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>84(77.1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>82(75.2)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not performed</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>101(92.6)</td>
<td>83(76.1)</td>
<td>5(4.6)</td>
<td>13(11.9)</td>
<td>18(16.5)</td>
<td>82(75.2)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>101(92.6)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>3(2.8)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not performed</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>3(2.8)</td>
<td>1(0.9)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>4(3.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are n (%), unless otherwise specified. Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CT, computed tomography; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; RT-PCR, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

\(^a\)Anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody assay; \(^b\)SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR assay.